Application No. Applicant(s) 09/668,068 ; 09/667,575; 09/679, OSHIMA ET AL. Interview Summary **Examiner Art Unit** 2634 Amanda T Le All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) MR. 110A (5) MR MIURA (4) MR. SEKI (6) MR. KANNO (1) Amanda T Le. (2) JEFF FILIPEK 41471 Date of Interview: 5/27/04 Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description: _ Claim(s) discussed: SEE ATTACHED SHEET Identification of prior art discussed: SEE ATACKED SHEET Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: SE ANACHED SHEET

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Amanda Examiner's signature, if required 09/667525

MARCH 27, 2001 INTERMEN W/ EXR. AMANDALE CLAIMS DISCUSSED: PROPOSED 19, ZI, \$ 27 ATTACHED APPLICATES POINTED OUT SUPPORT FOR CLAIMS TO OVERCOME 112, 1ST REJECTION, I.C., FIGS 61,62,84,174, columns 47,48,57,58,59.

09/668068

CLAIMS DISCUSSED: PENDING CLAIM 19

PROZART DISCUSSED: FARIAS 4891806 & OOI SOOTOBB APPLICANTS ARCUED THAT COMBINATION OF FARIAS & COI DOES NET CONSTITUTE AN OBVIOUS COMBINATION THAT WOULD RESULT IN THE CLAIMED INVENTION INCLUDING MEDILEVEL USB MODOLATED SIGNALS, TEXUS EXCODING MILEUEL, UNIQUE WORD FOR SYNCH, MISO ARGUED THAT FARIAS (ZDIFFERENT WODULATIONS) AND OOI (UNIQUE CORD) TEACH AWAY FROM EACH OTHER.

09/672946

CLAIMS DISCUSSED : PROPUSED CLAIM 40 APPLICAM POINTED OUT SUPPORT IS FOUND IN FIGURES 28, 84 \$ 174. & WILL PROVIDE MORE DETAILS IN THE RESPONSE.